

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ADAM REYNOLDS,

Petitioner,  
v.

Case Number 19-10411  
Honorable David M. Lawson

JOSEPH BARRETT,

Respondent.

/

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL**

This matter is before the Court on the petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel. There is no constitutional right to counsel for habeas proceedings. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Post v. Bradshaw*, 422 F.3d 419, 423 n.1 (6th Cir. 2005). Habeas proceedings are civil proceedings, *Browder v. Director, Dep't of Corr. of Ill.*, 434 U.S. 257, 269 (1978), and ““appointment of counsel in a civil case is . . . a matter within the discretion of the court. It is a privilege not a right.”” *Childs v. Pellegrin*, 822 F.2d 1382, 1384 (6th Cir. 1987) (quoting *U.S. v. Madden*, 352 F.2d 792, 793 (9th Cir. 1965)). The Court does not see grounds to grant Reynolds's request for appointment of counsel at the present time.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 3) is **DENIED**.

s/David M. Lawson  
DAVID M. LAWSON  
United States District Judge

Date: February 15, 2019

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing order was served upon each attorney or party of record herein by electronic means or first class U.S. mail on February 15, 2019.

s/Susan K. Pinkowski  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN K. PINKOWSKI